



Cycle Issues

THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO
Development Services
1222 First Avenue, San Diego, CA 92101-4154

L64A-003A

Project Information

Project Nbr: 266825 **Title:** COPLEY - PRICE FAMILY YMCA
Project Mgr: Black, Laura (619) 236-6327 Lblack@sandiego.gov



Review Information

Cycle Type: 6 Submitted (Multi-Discipline)	Submitted: 01/05/2012	Deemed Complete on 01/05/2012
Reviewing Discipline: PUD-Water & Sewer Dev	Cycle Distributed: 01/05/2012	
Reviewer: Keshavarzi, Mahmood (619) 533-4692	Assigned: 01/09/2012	
Hours of Review: 6.00	Started: 01/10/2012	
Next Review Method: Submitted (Multi-Discipline)	Review Due: 01/18/2012	
	Completed: 01/19/2012	COMPLETED LATE
	Closed: 01/26/2012	

- . The review due date was changed to 01/27/2012 from 01/27/2012 per agreement with customer.
- . The reviewer has indicated they want to review this project again. Reason chosen by the reviewer: First Review Issues.
- . We request a 2nd complete submittal for PUD-Water & Sewer Dev on this project as: Submitted (Multi-Discipline).
- . The reviewer has requested more documents be submitted.
- . Your project still has 5 outstanding review issues with PUD-Water & Sewer Dev (all of which are new).
- . The reviewer has not signed off 1 job.
- . Last month PUD-Water & Sewer Dev performed 54 reviews, 94.4% were on-time, and 83.7% were on projects at less than < 3 complete submittals.

1st Review Comments

<u>Cleared?</u>	<u>Issue Num</u>	<u>Issue Text</u>
<input type="checkbox"/>	1	The existing sewer main within the alley right-of-way shall be relocated to the 43rd Street or Fairmount Avenue right-of-way. A sewer study is required to determine the size and location of the sewer main. (New Issue)
<input type="checkbox"/>	2	Revise sheet 3 of 17 to either relocate the proposed water and sewer services within Meade Avenue or revise location of the proposed driveway. Water and sewer service must be located outside of any driveway or vehicular use area. (New Issue)
<input type="checkbox"/>	3	Show and call out the existing water service (domestic, fire, irrigation) and sewer lateral, and identify to remain or abandon. If remain please call out future use. (New Issue)
<input type="checkbox"/>	4	Delete the existing 6" water main within El Cajon Boulevard. The existing 6" water main was abandoned per drawing 19136-D. (New Issue)
<input type="checkbox"/>	5	Revise location of the proposed water service within El Cajon Boulevard. Please provide 5' minimum separation between the proposed water service and the existing 24" storm drain. (New Issue)





L64A-003A

1222 First Avenue, San Diego, CA 92101-4154

Review Information

Cycle Type: 6 Submitted (Multi-Discipline)	Submitted: 01/05/2012	Deemed Complete on 01/05/2012
Reviewing Discipline: LDR-Planning Review	Cycle Distributed: 01/05/2012	
Reviewer: Abalos, Raynard (619) 446-5377	Assigned: 01/12/2012	
Hours of Review: 6.00	Started: 01/18/2012	
Next Review Method: Submitted (Multi-Discipline)	Review Due: 01/18/2012	
	Completed: 01/18/2012	COMPLETED ON TIME
	Closed: 01/26/2012	

- . The review due date was changed to 01/27/2012 from 01/27/2012 per agreement with customer.
- . The reviewer has indicated they want to review this project again. Reason chosen by the reviewer: First Review Issues.
- . We request a 2nd complete submittal for LDR-Planning Review on this project as: Submitted (Multi-Discipline).
- . The reviewer has requested more documents be submitted.
- . Your project still has 23 outstanding review issues with LDR-Planning Review (all of which are new).
- . The reviewer has not signed off 1 job.
- . Last month LDR-Planning Review performed 133 reviews, 60.9% were on-time, and 57.9% were on projects at less than < 3 complete submittals.

1st Expedite Rev Jan 2012

Project Information

<u>Cleared?</u>	<u>Issue Num</u>	<u>Issue Text</u>
<input type="checkbox"/>	1	The project site is located within the CUPD-CU-2-4 zone of the Central Urbanized Planned District, the City Heights Redevelopment Project Area, the Transit Area Overlay Zone, and the Kensington-Talmadge neighborhood of the Mid-City Communities Plan area. [Info Only - No Response Required] (New Issue)
<input type="checkbox"/>	2	This Sustainable Buildings Project proposes a privately operated, outdoor recreation facility and child care center, consisting of an approximately 53,100 sf recreation building with roof deck, a 7,300 sf enclosed pool building, a three-level parking garage, an outdoor soccer arena, an outdoor pool and other outdoor recreation areas on a 3.71 acre site with an existing 3-level parking garage. [Info Only - No Response Required] (New Issue)

Permits

<u>Cleared?</u>	<u>Issue Num</u>	<u>Issue Text</u>
<input type="checkbox"/>	3	The project requires the following discretionary permits: - Process Four Conditional Use Permit (CDP) for a Privately Operated, Outdoor Recreation Facility over 40,000 square feet in size. The project is subject to the separately regulated use requirements in SDMC 141.0618 and SDMC 141.0606(c) (see additional comments below). - Process Four Planned Development Permit (PDP) for proposed deviations (see additional comments below) (New Issue)
<input type="checkbox"/>	4	The applications for all discretionary actions and permits shall be consolidated for processing and shall be reviewed by a single decision maker. This project shall be decided in accordance with Process Four with the Planning Commission as the decision maker. The City Council may approve the project only if all required findings are made. The findings required for approval of each permit shall be considered individually, consistent with SDMC 126.0105. The decision can be appealed to the City Council in accordance with SDMC 112.0508. [Info Only - No Response Required] (New Issue)
<input type="checkbox"/>	5	Provide draft findings for the SDP with the next submittal. The required findings are as follows: PDP - SDMC 126.0604(a) CUP - SDMC 126.0305 (New Issue)

Deviations

<u>Cleared?</u>	<u>Issue Num</u>	<u>Issue Text</u>
<input type="checkbox"/>	6	Provide a completed request for deviations form listing all requested deviations along with justification for why each deviation is necessary, and how the findings can be met. The approved form is available from the Development Project Manager. (New Issue)
<input type="checkbox"/>	7	Quantify all proposed deviations on Sheet DD1 (e.g. 10-foot or less street side setback along 25 percent of the street frontage where SDMC 151.0242 requires a maximum 10-foot setback along at least 70 percent of the street frontage). (New Issue)

For questions regarding the 'LDR-Planning Review' review, please call Raynard Abalos at (619) 446-5377. Project Nbr: 266825 / Cycle: 6





<u>Cleared?</u>	<u>Issue Num</u>	<u>Issue Text</u>
<input type="checkbox"/>	8	The project proposes the following deviations through application of a PDP: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Deviation from the maximum allowable street side setback of 10 feet in SDMC 151.0242 - Deviation from the locational requirements for refuse and recyclable materials enclosures in SDMC 142.0810 - Deviation from the transparency requirement in SDMC 131.0552 - Deviation from the drive aisle width requirement in SDMC 142.0560 - Deviation from the landscape street yard planting points requirement (New Issue)
<input type="checkbox"/>	9	The intent of the Planned Development Permit regulations is to accommodate, to the greatest extent possible, an equitable balance of development types, intensities, styles, site constraints, project amenities, public improvements, community and City benefits and to provide flexibility in the application of development regulations for projects where strict application of the base zone development regulations would restrict design options and result in a less desirable project. (New Issue)
<input type="checkbox"/>	10	Deviations from the applicable base zone development regulations may be requested in order to provide flexibility in achieving a zone-equivalent project design that will be consistent with the intent of the base zone. (New Issue)
<input type="checkbox"/>	11	The intent of the CUPD-CU-2-4 zone is to accommodate development with pedestrian orientation. The proposed deviation to the transparency requirement is not consistent with the intent of the zone. The deviation may be appropriate, but the project should offset the lack of transparency by adding additional features along the key intersections of El Cajon Blvd and 43rd St and El Cajon Blvd and Fairmount Ave. Areas reserved for pedestrian and public use on these corners would meet the intent of the zone. (New Issue)
<input type="checkbox"/>	12	The supplemental PDP requirements (see below) also provide additional review criteria that support the above recommendation. In order to meet the supplemental PDP requirements, the intent of the zone and in order to make the required PDP findings, LDR-Planning recommends revising the project to satisfy Long-Range Planning's comments. (New Issue)

Separately Regulated Use Reqs

<u>Cleared?</u>	<u>Issue Num</u>	<u>Issue Text</u>
<input type="checkbox"/>	13	Privately Operated Outdoor Rec Facility The privately operated outdoor recreation facility is subject to the separately regulated use requirements in SDMC 141.0618. (New Issue)
<input type="checkbox"/>	14	SDMC 141.0618(e) states that hours of operation may be limited so that neighboring development is not disturbed by noise and lights. Provide a written response (separate document) indicating the proposed hours of operation, especially for the soccer field and swimming pool, and discuss the how the hours of operation will not result in impacts related to noise and light with the adjacent uses. (New Issue)
<input type="checkbox"/>	15	SDMC 141.0618(d) requires off-street parking at a level sufficient to serve the facility without impacting adjacent or nearby property. See Transportation's review for issues related to this requirement. (New Issue)
<input type="checkbox"/>	16	Child Care Center A child care center is allowed as a limited use in the zone, subject to the separately regulated use requirements in SDMC 141.0606(c). Provide a written response (separate document) indicating how the project conforms to all applicable requirements in SDMC 141.0606(c). (New Issue)

Supplemental PDP Reqs

<u>Cleared?</u>	<u>Issue Num</u>	<u>Issue Text</u>
<input type="checkbox"/>	17	The project is subject to the supplemental PDP regulations in SDMC Chapter 14, Article 3, Division 4. Please revise per the following. (New Issue)
<input type="checkbox"/>	18	SDMC 143.0460(d) states that fences and walls that are generally parallel to the public right-of-way and exceed 150 feet in length shall be articulated with vertical elements spaced at no more than 50 feet on center. These vertical elements shall be a minimum of 12 inches wide. Revise the planter wall along Fairmount Ave, adjacent to the outdoor and indoor pools, to comply with this requirement. (New Issue)
<input type="checkbox"/>	19	SDMC 143.0460(e)(2) states that commercial developments should include public open areas or plazas that are accessible to the general public. Revise the project per Issues #11 above and per Long-Range Planning's comments. (New Issue)

Corrections

<u>Cleared?</u>	<u>Issue Num</u>	<u>Issue Text</u>
<input type="checkbox"/>	20	Provide a diagram that shows how each façade complies with the building articulation requirement in SDMC 131.0554. Use hatching or similar illustrations to indicate the different offsetting planes and provide a table showing how the planes comply with the offset requirements and area requirements. (New Issue)
<input type="checkbox"/>	21	On Sheet DD1, revise the "Zoning Designation" to read "CUPD-CU-2-4" instead of "CU-2-4." (New Issue)
<input type="checkbox"/>	22	On Sheet DD1, show the lot coverage as a percentage. (New Issue)





Cycle Issues

THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO
Development Services

1222 First Avenue, San Diego, CA 92101-4154

L64A-003A

<u>Cleared?</u>	<u>Issue Num</u>	<u>Issue Text</u>
<input type="checkbox"/>	23	Provide a correct legal description on Sheet DD1. (New Issue)





Cycle Issues

THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO
Development Services

1222 First Avenue, San Diego, CA 92101-4154

L64A-003A

Review Information

Cycle Type: 6 Submitted (Multi-Discipline)	Submitted: 01/05/2012	Deemed Complete on 01/05/2012
Reviewing Discipline: LDR-Environmental	Cycle Distributed: 01/05/2012	
Reviewer: Benally, Rhonda (619) 446-5468	Assigned: 01/06/2012	
Hours of Review: 0.00	Started: 01/17/2012	
Next Review Method: Submitted (Multi-Discipline)	Review Due: 01/26/2012	
	Completed: 01/23/2012	COMPLETED ON TIME
	Closed: 01/26/2012	

- . The review due date was changed to 01/27/2012 from 01/27/2012 per agreement with customer.
- . The reviewer has indicated they want to review this project again. Reason chosen by the reviewer: Partial Response to Cmnts/Regs.
- . We request a 2nd complete submittal for LDR-Environmental on this project as: Submitted (Multi-Discipline).
- . The reviewer has requested more documents be submitted.
- . Your project still has 18 outstanding review issues with LDR-Environmental (all of which are new).
- . The reviewer has not signed off 1 job.
- . Last month LDR-Environmental performed 82 reviews, 40.2% were on-time, and 48.7% were on projects at less than < 3 complete submittals.

Review 1/20/2012

<u>Cleared?</u>	<u>Num</u>	<u>Issue Text</u>
<input type="checkbox"/>	1	Scope of Work (INFORMATIONAL ONLY, NO ACTION REQUIRED) The site was formerly an auto dealership, and is a vacant site except for an existing parking structure. The project proposes a new two-story, 59,700-square-foot Recreation Facility, 7,300-square-foot enclosed pool and parking structure. The project will require deviations for setbacks, drive aisle width, transparency, and landscaping. The recreation facility would provide general membership to the local community and offer two pools, exercise/workout rooms, a computer lab, and an outdoor youth arena soccer. (New Issue)
<input type="checkbox"/>	2	(Continued) The project is located at 4300 El Cajon Boulevard between 43rd and Fairmont Streets, in the CU-2-4 zone of the Central Urbanized Planned District Ordinance in the Kensington-Talmadge community of the Mid-City Communities Planning area, and Transit Area Overlay Zone. (New Issue)

Project Issues

<u>Cleared?</u>	<u>Num</u>	<u>Issue Text</u>
<input type="checkbox"/>	3	The Environmental Analysis Section (EAS) is not able to make a determination at this time pending the submittal of additional information and resolution of the other reviewing disciplines' (LDR-Planning, LDR-Engineering, LDR-Transportation, Plan-Long Range Planning LDR-Geology, Park and Recreation) issues. (New Issue)

Air Quality

<u>Cleared?</u>	<u>Num</u>	<u>Issue Text</u>
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	4	EAS received "Air Quality Assessment Copley Family YMCA San Diego, CA, City Project No. 242653," prepared by LDN Consulting, Inc., December 17, 2011. EAS did not request this report, but has reviewed and accepted. EAS has no further issue. (New Issue)

Paleontology

<u>Cleared?</u>	<u>Num</u>	<u>Issue Text</u>
<input type="checkbox"/>	5	According to the "Preliminary Geologic Reconnaissance and Geotechnical Evaluation City Heights Property at Fairmont Avenue and El Cajon Boulevard, San Diego, California (January 20, 2009)," prepared by Terracosta Consulting Group, Inc, the subject property is underlain by the San Diego and Lindavista Formations. San Diego Formation has been assigned as high resource potential, and Lindavista Formation has been assigned as a moderate resource potential for paleontological resources. (New Issue)
<input type="checkbox"/>	6	(Continued) The Earthwork quantities on the Preliminary Grading and Drainage Plan (Sheet 3 of 17) states approximately 3,373 cubic yards of cut, however the maximum depth of cut proposed for the project including the pools was not provided. In addition, LDR-Engineering indicated the grading plan submitted needs to be revised, therefore please provide this information as requested by LDR-Engineering in the next submittal. Until this information is provided EAS is not able to determine if monitoring for paleontological resources is required. (New Issue)

Greenhouse Gas (GHG) Emissions

For questions regarding the 'LDR-Environmental' review, please call Rhonda Benally at (619) 446-5468. Project Nbr: 266825 / Cycle: 6





Cycle Issues

THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO
Development Services

1222 First Avenue, San Diego, CA 92101-4154

L64A-003A

<u>Cleared?</u>	<u>Issue Num</u>	<u>Issue Text</u>
<input type="checkbox"/>	7	EAS received "Greenhouse Gas Assessment Copley Family YMCA, San Diego, CA, City Project No. 242653," prepared by LDN Consulting, Inc., December 18, 2011. The report requires revisions, and will be given to the City's project manager to be forwarded to the applicant's consultant. Please make the necessary revisions as "redlined" in the report. Until EAS receives the revised report this issue will remain uncleared. (New Issue)

Geology

<u>Cleared?</u>	<u>Issue Num</u>	<u>Issue Text</u>
<input type="checkbox"/>	8	EAS received "Preliminary Geologic Reconnaissance and Geotechnical Evaluation City Heights Property at Fairmont Avenue and El Cajon Boulevard, San Diego, California," prepared by TerraCosta Consulting Group, Inc., January 20, 2009. LDR-Geology requires an addendum in the next submittal. Please refer to LDR-Geology for additional information. Please provide a copy of the addendum to EAS in the next submittal. (New Issue)

Health and Safety

<u>Cleared?</u>	<u>Issue Num</u>	<u>Issue Text</u>
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	9	EAS received a copy of a concurrence letter (dated March 10, 2010) that was signed by the County DEH. This letter indicates the agency (County) concurs that the cleanup goals established for the subject site have been met. The Case Closure Summary (Page 3 of 4) attached to this letter indicates that contamination of hydrocarbon-impacted soil was left in place in two locations and lead-impacted soil in one location which were further discussed in this letter report. (New Issue)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	10	(Continued) Please note should any contamination be discovered during grading/excavation activities on this site the County of San Diego Department of Environmental Health would need to be contacted. EAS has no further issue at this time. (New Issue)
<input type="checkbox"/>	11	Hazardous Material Questionnaire: EAS received the Development Services Department (DSD) Form DS-527, "Child Care Center Hazardous Materials Questionnaire." However this form has not been reviewed and stamped by the County of San Diego Department of Environmental Health-Hazardous Materials Division (HMD). Please have this form reviewed and stamped by the County HMD, and provide a copy to EAS in your next submittal. For additional information please contact Ms. Joan Swanson, County HMD at (858) 505-6791. (New Issue)
<input type="checkbox"/>	12	(Continued) Until EAS receives this form that has been reviewed and stamped by the County HMD this issue cannot be cleared. (New Issue)

Noise

<u>Cleared?</u>	<u>Issue Num</u>	<u>Issue Text</u>
<input type="checkbox"/>	13	(Continued) EAS received "Noise Study Copley Family YMCA San Diego, CA, City Project No. 242653," prepared by LDN Consulting, Inc., December 16, 2011. Table 3-2 only illustrates the evening hours of 7PM to 10 PM, however the hours of operation range from 6 AM to 10PM. Therefore please clarify in Table 3-2 the sound level limits and arithmetic mean for each time of day including 10PM to 7AM for potential noise impacts to surrounding neighborhood. Please clarify if mitigation is or is not required. Please revise report to address these comments. (New Issue)
<input type="checkbox"/>	14	(Continued) The report indicates the arena soccer field, and outdoor pool activities would occur between the hours of 5PM to 10 PM during the week, and from 10AM to 10PM on weekends. The site is surrounded by existing residential to the north, west and east. Please provide noise contours on Figure 5-1 clarifying the decibel levels from the subject site to the adjacent residential development. (New Issue)
<input type="checkbox"/>	15	(Continued) Based on review of Table 4-1, it appears the ambient noise level of Monitoring location 3 (M3) exceeds the acceptable noise level for this type of project. Please refer to the land use compatibility table in the City's General Plan. It appears that mitigation may be required along Fairmont Avenue. Please clarify what, if any mitigation is required. (New Issue)





L64A-003A

<u>Cleared?</u>	<u>Issue Num</u>	<u>Issue Text</u>
<input type="checkbox"/>	16	(Continued)

In addition, the report concludes that the unmitigated outdoor noise levels for future traffic related noise levels as shown in Table 6-1 are below the 65 dBA CNEL. Please provide additional discussion to document how the future ambient noise level (with increased traffic trips) actually decreases. (New Issue)

<input type="checkbox"/>	17	(Continued)
--------------------------	----	-------------

Page 8, Land Use Compatibility Noise Chart: Please remove this table and replace this table utilizing the Table NE-3 of the City's General Plan. Please ensure the appropriate land use compatibility in the acoustical analysis. Revise report if necessary. (New Issue)

Transportation/Circulation/Par

<u>Cleared?</u>	<u>Issue Num</u>	<u>Issue Text</u>
<input type="checkbox"/>	18	EAS received "Traffic Impact Analysis Copley YMCA, San Diego, California," prepared by Linscott Law and Greenspan, December 9, 2011. LDR-Transportation is currently in review of the Traffic Impact Analysis (TIA) please refer to LDR-Transportation for additional information. Please provide a copy of approved TIA to EAS. (New Issue)

Water Quality/Drainage Study

<u>Cleared?</u>	<u>Issue Num</u>	<u>Issue Text</u>
<input type="checkbox"/>	19	EAS received "Water Quality Technical Report for 4300 El Cajon Blvd, San Diego, CA (December 16, 2011)," and "Drainage Study for Copley Family YMCA," prepared by REC Consulting. LDR-Engineering has indicated the Water Quality Technical Report (WQTR) and Drainage Study require revisions. Please provide a copy of approved WQTR and Drainage Study to EAS. Until this information is received this issue cannot be cleared. (New Issue)

Long Range Planning

<u>Cleared?</u>	<u>Issue Num</u>	<u>Issue Text</u>
<input type="checkbox"/>	20	The project has been reviewed by the City's Long Range Planning staff. Please refer to Long Range Planning for additional information, and consistency with the Mid-City Communities Plan. (New Issue)

New Issue Group (1490382)

<u>Cleared?</u>	<u>Issue Num</u>	<u>Issue Text</u>
<input type="checkbox"/>	21	Please note additional environmental issues may arise as the review progresses. (New Issue)





Cycle Issues

THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO
Development Services

1222 First Avenue, San Diego, CA 92101-4154

L64A-003A

Review Information

Cycle Type: 6 Submitted (Multi-Discipline)	Submitted: 01/05/2012	Deemed Complete on 01/05/2012
Reviewing Discipline: LDR-Landscaping	Cycle Distributed: 01/05/2012	
Reviewer: Spindell, Glenn (619) 446-5353	Assigned: 01/05/2012	
Hours of Review: 6.00	Started: 01/18/2012	
Next Review Method: Submitted (Multi-Discipline)	Review Due: 01/18/2012	
	Completed: 01/19/2012	COMPLETED LATE
	Closed: 01/26/2012	

- . The review due date was changed to 01/27/2012 from 01/27/2012 per agreement with customer.
- . The reviewer has indicated they want to review this project again. Reason chosen by the reviewer: First Review Issues.
- . We request a 2nd complete submittal for LDR-Landscaping on this project as: Submitted (Multi-Discipline).
- . The reviewer has requested more documents be submitted.
- . Your project still has 9 outstanding review issues with LDR-Landscaping (all of which are new).
- . The reviewer has not signed off 1 job.
- . Last month LDR-Landscaping performed 42 reviews, 88.1% were on-time, and 52.6% were on projects at less than < 3 complete submittals.

Review 011812

<u>Cleared?</u>	<u>Issue Num</u>	<u>Issue Text</u>
<input type="checkbox"/>	1	The project is subject to the City-wide Landscape Requirements for Commercial Development. Deviations are requested for Street Tree and Street Yard requirements. (New Issue)
<input type="checkbox"/>	2	Landscaping proximal to the Bus Stop on El Cajon Blvd. shall comply with Metropolitan Transit Development Board [MTDB] design standards. Provide notes and details accordingly. Additionally, Long-Range Planning review has recommended additional trees for this vicinity. (New Issue)
<input type="checkbox"/>	3	Please revise the landscape development plan to include a separate legend entry for street trees (within and outside of the right-of-way). (New Issue)
<input type="checkbox"/>	4	Per Table 142-04C, 25 per cent of the street yard is required as landscape. Applicant proposes 40 per cent. Please clarify whether this percentage includes turf within active-use recreational areas e.g. the soccer field. (New Issue)
<input type="checkbox"/>	5	The required plant points are to be achieved by trees only. Please revise street yard calculations accordingly, using Form DS-4. (New Issue)
<input type="checkbox"/>	6	Applicant proposes 20 per cent of the required points provided by trees. Staff recommends that the container size of the street yard trees be increased where feasible (Ref. Table 142-04B: Plant Point Schedule). Additionally, the street trees may be counted toward the street yard tree requirement. (New Issue)
<input type="checkbox"/>	7	Conversely, where site conditions preclude the amount of required street trees, street yard trees within 10 feet of the property line may count toward the street tree requirement. (New Issue)
<input type="checkbox"/>	8	Deviations from the Landscape Regulations may be requested through this Development Permit process. If deemed necessary, they must be clearly articulated within the design statement in order to provide findings for a hearing body to consider. Such items to consider are: whether existing conditions and/or requirements of other review disciplines preclude strict application of the regulations; whether available planting areas are maximized; if the intent of the Landscape Regulations is being met. (New Issue)
<input type="checkbox"/>	9	Provide a design statement articulating how the proposed plan substantially conforms to the intent of the Mid-Cities Community Plan, particularly recommendations pertaining to such Urban Design Elements as the International Promenade (43rd St. and Fairmount Ave.); Community Gateway (Fairmount Ave. at Meade Ave.); Pedestrian Element (security, visibility). (New Issue)





L64A-003A

Review Information

Cycle Type: 6 Submitted (Multi-Discipline)	Submitted: 01/05/2012	Deemed Complete on 01/05/2012
Reviewing Discipline: LDR-Engineering Review	Cycle Distributed: 01/05/2012	
Reviewer: Ocen, Julius (619) 446-5295	Assigned: 01/05/2012	
Hours of Review: 6.10	Started: 01/17/2012	
Next Review Method: Submitted (Multi-Discipline)	Review Due: 01/18/2012	
	Completed: 01/18/2012	COMPLETED ON TIME
	Closed: 01/26/2012	

- . The review due date was changed to 01/27/2012 from 01/27/2012 per agreement with customer.
- . The reviewer has indicated they want to review this project again. Reason chosen by the reviewer: First Review Issues.
- . We request a 2nd complete submittal for LDR-Engineering Review on this project as: Submitted (Multi-Discipline).
- . The reviewer has requested more documents be submitted.
- . Your project still has 6 outstanding review issues with LDR-Engineering Review (all of which are new).
- . The reviewer has not signed off 1 job.
- . Last month LDR-Engineering Review performed 63 reviews, 98.4% were on-time, and 43.3% were on projects at less than < 3 complete submittals.

1st Review Issues

<u>Cleared?</u>	<u>Num</u>	<u>Issue Text</u>
<input type="checkbox"/>	1	The preliminary drainage study is referencing the County of San Diego's Hydrology manual and method. Please note that the City no longer accepts the County's manual and values. Please revise all technical reports and studies to comply with the City of San Diego drainage design manual dated April 1984. (New Issue)
<input type="checkbox"/>	2	The grading plan submitted needs to be revised as follows: Submit a conceptual grading plan showing the existing and proposed grading contours, grading data table with cut/fill import/export quantities, slope gradient, drainage patterns, and the collection/discharge points for all site/roof drains. (New Issue)
<input type="checkbox"/>	3	The plans shows the bio filter planter at 4 feet high. Please provide details and cross sections including discharge points of these proposed proposed bio filters. The maximum allowable height of these walls within the public right of way is 3 feet. Additionally remove all walls higher than 3 feet from all visibility triangle areas of street corner and driveway corners. (New Issue)
<input type="checkbox"/>	4	Please provide cross-sections of all adjacent streets with curb to property line distances. Additionally show all utility boxes within the right of way on the plans.

Please provide cross-sections of all adjacent streets with curb to property line distances. Additionally show all utility boxes within the right of way on the plans.

- (New Issue)
- 5 Easement data notes indicates that easements will be vacated. Please note that these easements will be Quit Claimed to each respective agency. (New Issue)
- 6 Note number 3 sheet DD1.2 indicates that the storm drain easement will be vacated. Please note that this is a public storm drain that requires an easement over it. If this easement is Quit Claimed, then the storm drain facility must be private and privately maintained. Please revise plans accordingly. (New Issue)

For questions regarding the 'LDR-Engineering Review' review, please call Julius Ocen at (619) 446-5295. Project Nbr: 266825 / Cycle: 6





L64A-003A

Review Information

Cycle Type: 6 Submitted (Multi-Discipline)	Submitted: 01/05/2012	Deemed Complete on 01/05/2012
Reviewing Discipline: LDR-Transportation Dev	Cycle Distributed: 01/05/2012	
Reviewer: French, Tanner (619) 446-5493	Assigned: 01/05/2012	
Hours of Review: 6.00	Started: 01/18/2012	
Next Review Method: Submitted (Multi-Discipline)	Review Due: 01/18/2012	
	Completed: 01/18/2012	COMPLETED ON TIME
	Closed: 01/26/2012	

- . The review due date was changed to 01/27/2012 from 01/27/2012 per agreement with customer.
- . The reviewer has indicated they want to review this project again. Reason chosen by the reviewer: First Review Issues.
- . We request a 2nd complete submittal for LDR-Transportation Dev on this project as: Submitted (Multi-Discipline).
- . The reviewer has requested more documents be submitted.
- . Your project still has 11 outstanding review issues with LDR-Transportation Dev (all of which are new).
- . The reviewer has not signed off 1 job.
- . Last month LDR-Transportation Dev performed 20 reviews, 80.0% were on-time, and 53.3% were on projects at less than < 3 complete submittals.

PTS 266825 // 1st Review

<u>Cleared?</u>	<u>Issue Num</u>	<u>Issue Text</u>
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	1	Project Description (information only, no action required): Transportation Development staff has completed its review for a Conditional Use Permit and Planned Development Permit to construct 59,700 square feet recreational facility, 7,300 square feet enclosed pool and parking structure with deviations for setback, drive aisle width, transparency, and landscaping. The project is located 4393 43rd St in the CU-2-4 Zone of Central Urbanized PD within the Kensington/Talmadge neighborhood of the Mid City Community Plan Area, and is located within the Transit Area Overlay Zone. (New Issue)
<input type="checkbox"/>	2	Parking - Size of Proposed Project: On Sheet DD1 of the site plan under the heading "Square Footages" the total square footage of the recreational buildings is 59,700 (36,700+16,400+6,600). However under the "Parking Calculations" heading the square footage is assumed as 55,000. Please either explain or fix this discrepancy. (New Issue)
<input type="checkbox"/>	3	Parking - Rate: As previously stated in the Preliminary Review (PTS 242653) for the project, the minimum parking requirement for the entire facility should be based on the health club rate of 5.0 spaces per 1,000 square feet (instead of 2.1 as shown on Sheet DD1 of the site plan) from Table 142-05F of the Land Development Code (LDC). Also, for the ancillary soccer arena use please include more information as to the use of the indoor soccer field. Will YMCA members be the only ones using the field? Will the field be rented to private organizations for use? (New Issue)
<input type="checkbox"/>	4	Parking - Transit Area Overlay Zone: The proposed project is within the Transit Area Overlay Zone; as such, a 15% reduction should be used when calculating the minimum parking requirement. Please include this on Sheet DD1. (New Issue)
<input type="checkbox"/>	5	Parking: As previously stated in the Preliminary Review (PTS 242653) for the project, parking tables for the proposed project should include the required and proposed number accessible, motorcycle and bicycle spaces. Please include this information on Sheet DD1 of the site plan. (New Issue)
<input type="checkbox"/>	6	Parking - Numbering Spaces: Please number each automobile parking space sequentially, or at least number every ten parking spaces sequentially. This will help confirm the number of spaces proposed on the site and assist identifying specific spaces if necessary. (New Issue)

For questions regarding the 'LDR-Transportation Dev' review, please call Tanner French at (619) 446-5493. Project Nbr: 266825 / Cycle: 6





L64A-003A

<u>Cleared?</u>	<u>Issue Num</u>	<u>Issue Text</u>
-----------------	------------------	-------------------

<input type="checkbox"/>	7	Project Frontage: As previously stated in the Preliminary Review (PTS 242653) for the project, plans should show and dimension all existing and proposed curb to curb, property line to property line, property line to center line, and property line to curb line distances on all fronting streets. Plans should also show all existing and proposed curb, gutter, sidewalk, driveways, and striping with all lane width dimensioned on the fronting streets.
--------------------------	---	---

<input type="checkbox"/>	8	(New Issue) Project Frontage (continued): The entire intersection at all 4 corners of the site should also be shown with all lane width and striping details. Additional frontage improvements and right-of-way dedications may be required pending provision of this information.
--------------------------	---	--

<input type="checkbox"/>	9	(New Issue) Driveways: As previously stated in the Preliminary Review (PTS 242653) for the project, the minimum and maximum one-way driveway width for a non-residential project should be 14 and 20 foot respectively, and the minimum and maximum two-way driveway width for a non-residential project should be 24 and 30 foot respectively from Table 142-05L of the LDC. Width of project driveways should be adjusted to be within the above range. Accordingly, the one-way southerly project driveway on 43rd Street should be narrowed to a maximum width of 20 feet (or 16 to 18 preferably).
--------------------------	---	---

<input type="checkbox"/>	10	(New Issue) Driveways - One Way Driveways: Please include proper signage for the one-way exit driveway shown on 43rd Street on Sheet DD1, and make a note on the same sheet that the directional arrows shown for both the one-way exit and entrance driveways will be added as part of the project. (This refers only to the arrows at the driveways, not the multitude of other arrows showing travel direction)
--------------------------	----	--

<input type="checkbox"/>	11	(New Issue) Deviation - Drive Aisle Width: Transportation Development can accept the drive aisle deviation shown on Sheet DD9 for the 23 foot 3 inch drive aisles. However, the 16 foot 6 inch drive aisle on Sheet DD8 may not be acceptable. Please make a note on the sheet the angle of the diagonal parking stalls. Please note that for 60 degree angled parking spaces the minimum one-way drive aisle from Table 142-05K of the LDC is 18 feet wide. It appears that restriping could be performed to fix this deficient drive aisle width.
--------------------------	----	---

<input type="checkbox"/>	12	(New Issue) Sidewalk Pop-out: Please show dimensions of the sidewalk pop-out shown on Sheet DD1 on the corner of El Cajon Boulevard/43rd Street. It would also help to show the dimensions of the adjacent lanes at the intersection, as was mentioned in a previous comment.
--------------------------	----	---

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	13	(New Issue) Traffic Impact Analysis Review (information only, no action required): Comments on the proposed project's traffic impact analysis will follow upon completion of the review.
-------------------------------------	----	--

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	14	(New Issue) Contact Information (Information only, no action required): Additional comments and conditions may be provided pending further review or redesign of this project. Please feel free to contact Tanner French for any Transportation related issues. Phone: 619.446.5493 Email: tfrench@sandiego.gov (New Issue)
-------------------------------------	----	---





Cycle Issues

THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO
Development Services
1222 First Avenue, San Diego, CA 92101-4154

L64A-003A

Review Information

Cycle Type: 6 Submitted (Multi-Discipline)	Submitted: 01/05/2012	Deemed Complete on 01/05/2012
Reviewing Discipline: Fire-Plans Officer	Cycle Distributed: 01/05/2012	
Reviewer: Carter, Ron (619) 446-5449	Assigned: 01/25/2012	
Hours of Review: 1.00	Started: 01/25/2012	
Next Review Method: Submitted (Multi-Discipline)	Review Due: 01/18/2012	
	Completed: 01/25/2012	COMPLETED LATE
	Closed: 01/26/2012	

- . The review due date was changed to 01/27/2012 from 01/27/2012 per agreement with customer.
- . We request a 2nd complete submittal for Fire-Plans Officer on this project as: Submitted (Multi-Discipline).
- . The reviewer has requested more documents be submitted.
- . Last month Fire-Plans Officer performed 8 reviews, 25.0% were on-time, and 60.0% were on projects at less than < 3 complete submittals.

New Issue Group (1491355)

	<u>Issue</u>
<u>Cleared?</u>	<u>Num</u> <u>Issue Text</u>
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	1 No corrections or Issues based on this submittal. (New Issue)





Cycle Issues

THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO
Development Services

1222 First Avenue, San Diego, CA 92101-4154

L64A-003A

Review Information

Cycle Type: 6 Submitted (Multi-Discipline)	Submitted: 01/05/2012	Deemed Complete on 01/05/2012
Reviewing Discipline: Plan-Long Range Planning	Cycle Distributed: 01/05/2012	
Reviewer: Prinz, Michael (619) 533-5931	Assigned: 01/06/2012	
Hours of Review: 8.00	Started: 01/18/2012	
Next Review Method: Submitted (Multi-Discipline)	Review Due: 01/18/2012	
	Completed: 01/18/2012	COMPLETED ON TIME
	Closed: 01/26/2012	

- . The review due date was changed to 01/27/2012 from 01/27/2012 per agreement with customer.
- . The reviewer has indicated they want to review this project again. Reason chosen by the reviewer: First Review Issues.
- . We request a 2nd complete submittal for Plan-Long Range Planning on this project as: Submitted (Multi-Discipline).
- . The reviewer has requested more documents be submitted.
- . Your project still has 5 outstanding review issues with Plan-Long Range Planning (all of which are new).
- . The reviewer has not signed off 1 job.
- . Last month Plan-Long Range Planning performed 10 reviews, 90.0% were on-time, and 100.0% were on projects at less than < 3 complete submittals.

New Issue Group (1488232)

<u>Cleared?</u>	<u>Num</u>	<u>Issue Text</u>
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	1	The proposed project to develop a YMCA facility to include a recreation building, swimming pools, play yard, soccer field and addition to an existing parking structure, is located in the Kensington-Talmadge neighborhood of the Mid-City Communities Plan. The site has a land use designation of Commercial and Mixed Use. The proposed project provides recreational programs and facilities to the public consistent with the Commercial land use designation of the Mid-City Communities Plan. (New Issue)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	2	While the preliminary review suggested swapping the location of the splash pad with the picnic area, the current configuration does not adversely affect the goals and policies of the Community Plan. (New Issue)
<input type="checkbox"/>	3	The Community Plan recommends new developments provide plazas and public seating areas at major intersections. Please include and identify the amenities available to the public. (New Issue)
<input type="checkbox"/>	4	Long range planning recommends additional shade-producing street trees at the southeast corner of the project site to mitigate heat gain resulting from paved surfaces and provide shade for pedestrians and transit-riders. (New Issue)
<input type="checkbox"/>	5	The Community Plan identifies Fairmount Avenue at El Cajon Boulevard, and 43rd Street at Fairmount Avenue as main street intersections, which call for treatments that focus on creating urban places designed for pedestrian use. Such treatments could include, but are not limited to, increased setbacks, street furniture, and shade-producing trees. LRP recommends inclusion of treatments at the corners to enhance pedestrian activity as discussed in the Crossroads section of the Urban Design Element of the Community Plan. (New Issue)
<input type="checkbox"/>	6	Please identify any sustainable building techniques to be used in the construction and operation of the facility consistent with the policies provided in Section A of the Conservation Element of the General Plan; specifically policies CE-A.5 and CE-A.7. (New Issue)
<input type="checkbox"/>	7	As the proposed project advances through the permit process, the applicant should contact the Chair of the Kensington-Talmadge Community Planning Group, David Moty, at kentalpc@yahoo.com to schedule the item as a future agenda action item of the Group. (New Issue)





Cycle Issues

THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO
Development Services

1222 First Avenue, San Diego, CA 92101-4154

L64A-003A

Review Information

Cycle Type: 6 Submitted (Multi-Discipline)	Submitted: 01/05/2012	Deemed Complete on 01/05/2012
Reviewing Discipline: Plan-Facilities Financing	Cycle Distributed: 01/05/2012	
Reviewer: Burgess, Victoria (619) 236-6262	Assigned: 01/09/2012	
Hours of Review: 0.20	Started: 01/09/2012	
Next Review Method: Submitted (Multi-Discipline)	Review Due: 01/18/2012	
	Completed: 01/09/2012	COMPLETED ON TIME
	Closed: 01/26/2012	

- . The review due date was changed to 01/27/2012 from 01/27/2012 per agreement with customer.
- . We request a 2nd complete submittal for Plan-Facilities Financing on this project as: Submitted (Multi-Discipline).
- . The reviewer has requested more documents be submitted.
- . Your project still has 1 outstanding review issues with Plan-Facilities Financing (all of which are new).
- . Last month Plan-Facilities Financing performed 36 reviews, 94.4% were on-time, and 100.0% were on projects at less than < 3 complete submittals.

Impact Fees

<u>Cleared?</u>	<u>Issue Num</u>	<u>Issue Text</u>
<input type="checkbox"/>	1	A Development Impact Fee (DIF) is required for this project. A Housing Trust Fund (HTF) fee is required for this project. Additional information regarding what has been previously demolished is needed to calculate the fee and credit. Contact: Vicki Burgess, Facilities Financing, 619-533-3684. (New Issue)





Cycle Issues

THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO
Development Services

1222 First Avenue, San Diego, CA 92101-4154

L64A-003A

Review Information

Cycle Type: 6 Submitted (Multi-Discipline)	Submitted: 01/05/2012	Deemed Complete on 01/05/2012
Reviewing Discipline: LDR-Geology	Cycle Distributed: 01/05/2012	
Reviewer: Thomas, Patrick (619) 446-5296	Assigned: 01/05/2012	
Hours of Review: 3.00	Started: 01/05/2012	
Next Review Method: Submitted (Multi-Discipline)	Review Due: 01/18/2012	
	Completed: 01/17/2012	COMPLETED ON TIME
	Closed: 01/26/2012	

- . The review due date was changed to 01/27/2012 from 01/27/2012 per agreement with customer.
- . The reviewer has indicated they want to review this project again. Reason chosen by the reviewer: First Review Issues.
- . We request a 2nd complete submittal for LDR-Geology on this project as: Submitted (Multi-Discipline).
- . The reviewer has requested more documents be submitted.
- . Your project still has 5 outstanding review issues with LDR-Geology (all of which are new).
- . The reviewer has not signed off 1 job.
- . Last month LDR-Geology performed 33 reviews, 93.9% were on-time, and 73.3% were on projects at less than < 3 complete submittals.

1st Review/Cycle 6 Information

<u>Cleared?</u>	<u>Num</u>	<u>Issue Text</u>
<input type="checkbox"/>	1	The project site is located within geologic hazard zone 52 as shown on the City's Seismic Safety Study Geologic Hazards Maps. Zone 52 is characterized by other level areas, gently sloping to steep terrain with favorable geologic structure, low risk. (New Issue)

1st Review/Cycle 6 References

<u>Cleared?</u>	<u>Num</u>	<u>Issue Text</u>
<input type="checkbox"/>	2	Preliminary Geologic Reconnaissance and Geotechnical Evaluation, City Heights Property at Fairmount Avenue and El Cajon Boulevard, San Diego, California; prepared by TerraCosta Consulting Group, Inc., dated January 20, 2009 (their project no. 2638). Preliminary Grading and Drainage Plan, New Recreation Facility, Copley-Price Family YMCA, City Heights, PTS 242653, San Diego, California, prepared by REC Consultants, Inc., dated December 15, 2011. (New Issue)

1st Review/Cycle 6 Issues

<u>Cleared?</u>	<u>Num</u>	<u>Issue Text</u>
<input type="checkbox"/>	3	The seismic design criteria should be updated per the 2010 CBC. (New Issue)
<input type="checkbox"/>	4	Indicate if the proposed bio retention areas are designed to dispose of storm water by infiltration or percolation. Provide a cross section design detail on the referenced plans. (New Issue)
<input type="checkbox"/>	5	If storm water infiltration or percolation and lateral migration may occur, the geotechnical consultant must address potential impacts regarding slope stability, fill settlement, piping of soil, and premature failure of pavement. The geotechnical consultant must comment whether or not the proposed bio retention design will have adverse impacts on adjacent properties. (New Issue)





Cycle Issues

THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO
Development Services

1222 First Avenue, San Diego, CA 92101-4154

L64A-003A

Review Information

Cycle Type: 6 Submitted (Multi-Discipline)	Submitted: 01/05/2012	Deemed Complete on 01/05/2012
Reviewing Discipline: SDPD-CEPTED	Cycle Distributed: 01/05/2012	
Reviewer: Black, Laura (619) 236-6327	Assigned: 01/06/2012	
Hours of Review: 1.00	Started: 01/13/2012	
Next Review Method: Submitted (Multi-Discipline)	Review Due: 01/18/2012	
	Completed: 01/26/2012	COMPLETED LATE
	Closed: 01/26/2012	

- . The review due date was changed to 01/27/2012 from 01/27/2012 per agreement with customer.
- . We request a 2nd complete submittal for SDPD-CEPTED on this project as: Submitted (Multi-Discipline).
- . The reviewer has requested more documents be submitted.

SDPD Review

<u>Cleared?</u>	<u>Issue Num</u>	<u>Issue Text</u>
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	1	SDPD-CPTED staff has reviewed the project and has suggestions in the following areas: Parking Structures, Bicycle and Motorcycle Parking, Vehicle Gates, Street Access, Contractor Access, Emergency SDPD Access, Exit Doors, All Exits, Emergency Exits, Fences and Gates, Cameras, Landscaping, Dealing with Graffiti Vandalism, Hardening against Vandalism, Canopy over El Cajon Blvd, Entrance, Dumpster Enclosures, Interior Office Doors, Exterior Windows, Preparing for Terrorist Attacks, and Preventing Problems at Bus Stops. (New Issue)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	2	A comprehensive review by SDPD-CEPTED will be attached to the first assessment letter for the applicant. (New Issue)





Cycle Issues

THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO
Development Services

1222 First Avenue, San Diego, CA 92101-4154

L64A-003A

Review Information

Cycle Type: 6 Submitted (Multi-Discipline)	Submitted: 01/05/2012	Deemed Complete on 01/05/2012
Reviewing Discipline: Park & Rec	Cycle Distributed: 01/05/2012	
Reviewer: Harkness, Jeff (619) 533-6595	Assigned: 01/05/2012	
Hours of Review: 1.00	Started: 01/17/2012	
Next Review Method: Submitted (Multi-Discipline)	Review Due: 01/18/2012	
	Completed: 01/18/2012	COMPLETED ON TIME
	Closed: 01/26/2012	

- . The review due date was changed to 01/27/2012 from 01/27/2012 per agreement with customer.
- . The reviewer has indicated they want to review this project again. Reason chosen by the reviewer: First Review Issues.
- . We request a 2nd complete submittal for Park & Rec on this project as: Submitted (Multi-Discipline).
- . The reviewer has requested more documents be submitted.
- . Your project still has 3 outstanding review issues with Park & Rec (all of which are new).
- . The reviewer has not signed off 1 job.
- . Last month Park & Rec performed 15 reviews, 66.7% were on-time, and 83.3% were on projects at less than < 3 complete submittals.

Review 1-18-12

<u>Cleared?</u>	<u>Num</u>	<u>Issue Text</u>
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	1	There are no park development issues associated with this project. (New Issue)
<input type="checkbox"/>	2	General - The property is within the El Cajon Blvd Maintenance Assessment District (MAD). As such the property owner is assessed MAD fees for maintenance of landscape within the public right of way. The landscape and irrigation improvements within the project public rights of way are to be maintained by the property owner as stated on the landscape plans. Please understand the property will still be assessed MAD fees even if the property owner maintains this area. (New Issue)
<input type="checkbox"/>	3	con't. - If the property owner wishes to have the MAD maintain this area upon completion of this project, or any time in the future, all landscape and irrigation construction must be installed per San Diego Regional Standard Drawings. All irrigation equipment must be to City standards, and a separate meter install for MAD maintained areas only. (New Issue)
<input type="checkbox"/>	4	Please either revise the plans to address maintenance by the MAD, or acknowledge, by a response in the next review cycle, that the property owner understands that the property will be assessed MAD fees even if the public right of way is to be privately maintained. (New Issue)





Cycle Issues

THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO
Development Services
1222 First Avenue, San Diego, CA 92101-4154

L64A-003A

Review Information

Cycle Type: 6 Submitted (Multi-Discipline)	Submitted: 01/05/2012	Deemed Complete on 01/05/2012
Reviewing Discipline: BDR-Structural	Cycle Distributed: 01/05/2012	
Reviewer: Lewis, Larry (619) 446-5078	Assigned: 01/11/2012	
Hours of Review: 0.30	Started: 01/12/2012	
Next Review Method: Submitted (Multi-Discipline)	Review Due: 01/18/2012	
	Completed: 01/12/2012	COMPLETED ON TIME
	Closed: 01/26/2012	

- . The review due date was changed to 01/27/2012 from 01/27/2012 per agreement with customer.
- . We request a 2nd complete submittal for BDR-Structural on this project as: Submitted (Multi-Discipline).
- . The reviewer has requested more documents be submitted.
- . Your project still has 4 outstanding review issues with BDR-Structural (all of which are new).
- . The reviewer has not signed off 1 job.
- . Last month BDR-Structural performed 729 reviews, 91.1% were on-time, and 93.4% were on projects at less than < 3 complete submittals.

Notes to Customer

<u>Cleared?</u>	<u>Issue Num</u>	<u>Issue Text</u>
-----------------	------------------	-------------------

- | | | |
|--------------------------|---|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> | 1 | For a recheck appointment call (619)446-5300. Please have the project number available when you call for the appointment. The project number is displayed in a "box" near the upper left corner of the Issues report. If you have questions on the BDR-structural review, phone Larry Lewis @ (619) 446-5078 or email @ llewis@sandiego.gov. (New Issue) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | 2 | It is the responsibility of the architect or engineer of record to assure that all requirements of the latest adopted edition of the California Building Code as well as other regulations and ordinances of the City of San Diego, are satisfied and incorporated in the plans, specifications and structural calculations. (New Issue) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | 3 | This list does not necessarily include all errors and omissions. (New Issue) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | 4 | This review is for the preliminary plans only. Building permits must be obtained per City of San Diego Land Development Code Section 129.0202. Based on the preliminary information, the following are responses to the questions from the applicant. A complete plan review for compliance with the California Building Code has not been performed at this time. A complete review will be performed when the plans are submitted for a building permit. The following responses are only from the perspective of structural plan check and the requirements of the California Building Code. (New Issue) |





Cycle Issues

THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO
Development Services
1222 First Avenue, San Diego, CA 92101-4154

L64A-003A

Review Information

Cycle Type: 6 Submitted (Multi-Discipline)	Submitted: 01/05/2012	Deemed Complete on 01/05/2012
Reviewing Discipline: Community Planning Group	Cycle Distributed: 01/05/2012	
Reviewer: Black, Laura (619) 236-6327	Assigned: 01/06/2012	
Hours of Review: 0.50	Started: 01/19/2012	
Next Review Method: Submitted (Multi-Discipline)	Review Due: 01/27/2012	
	Completed: 01/19/2012	COMPLETED ON TIME
	Closed: 01/26/2012	

- . The reviewer has indicated they want to review this project again. Reason chosen by the reviewer: First Review Issues.
- . We request a 2nd complete submittal for Community Planning Group on this project as: Submitted (Multi-Discipline).
- . The reviewer has requested more documents be submitted.
- . Your project still has 1 outstanding review issues with Community Planning Group (all of which are new).
- . Last month Community Planning Group performed 50 reviews, 44.0% were on-time, and 68.0% were on projects at less than < 3 complete submittals.

Kensington-Talmadge Community

<u>Cleared?</u>	<u>Issue Num</u>	<u>Issue Text</u>
<input type="checkbox"/>	1	Please contact the Chair for the Kensington-Talmadge Planning Group, David Moty, 619.255.2882 or email kentalpc@yahoo.com, to make arrangements to present your project for review at their next available meeting. This Community Plannig Group is officially recognized by the City as a representative of the community, and an advisor to the City in actions that would affect the community. The Development Services Department has notified the group of your request and has sent them a copy of your project plans and documents. (New Issue)

